UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,170	07/20/2007	Gen-Sheng Feng	BURNHAM.010NP	7231
	7590	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN ST		BERTOGLIO, VALARIE E		
FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1632	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/15/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com efiling@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/594,170	FENG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Valarie Bertoglio	1632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 De	ecember 2010					
	' <u> </u>					
<i>i</i>	, _					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
· ·	reparto dadyro, rodo o.z. rr, ro					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 7-12,14, 26,28-31,44-52 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-12 and 14 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 26,28-31 and 44-52 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 September 2006</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	re: a) accepted or b) object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ate				

Page 2

Applicant's reply filed on 12/20/2010 is acknowledged. Claims 1-6, 13,15-25,27,32-43 are

cancelled. Claims 44-52 are added. Claims 7-12 and 14 are withdrawn. Claims 26,28-31,44-52 are under

consideration.

Enablement

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode

contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 26 and 28-31 remain rejected and newly added claims 44-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.

112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a genetically modified mouse

whose genome comprises a Shp2^{flox} allele wherein the Shp2 gene is functionally disrupted in CamK2a-

expressing cells such that no Shp2 is expressed in said cells and wherein said mouse exhibits increased

body weight, early-onset obesity, and resistance to leptin, does not reasonably provide enablement for the

full scope of the claims. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it

pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope

with these claims.

The claims are directed to genetically modified mouse comprising a disrupted Shp2 gene wherein

said mouse is homozygous for said disrupted gene and exhibits increased body weight in comparison to a

wildtype control mouse. Dependent claims recite additional phenotypes. The claims encompass mice that

have a disruption in the Shp2 gene in all cells of the mouse or in cells other than cells of the forebrain.

The specification teaches a conditional knockout of the Shp2 gene in CamK2a-expressing

forebrain cells (CaSKO mouse). The specification teaches use of a homologous recombination construct

with loxP sites flanking exon 4 of the Shp2 gene to generate a line of mice (Shp2^{flox}) that, when crossed to

Art Unit: 1632

a Cre-expressing line, will lose expression of Shp2 in Cre-expressing cells. Cre-mediated recombination results in deletion of exon4 and a frameshift that results to premature truncation. The specification teaches crossing the Shp2^{flox} mouse to a mouse where the promoter driving expression of a Cre recombinase transgene is the CaMK2a promoter. The CaMK2a promoter drives expression only in the neurons of the hippocampus (see Reece 2004, page 388, provided herewith). The pattern of expression of the Cre recombinase determines which cell will lose expression of Shp2, which will then determine the phenotype of the mouse. The specification has taught only the CaSKO mouse lacking Shp2 in CaMK2a expressing cells. The specification has not taught other mice encompassed by the claims.

The art has demonstrated other conditional knockouts of the Shp2 gene wherein loss of Shp2 expression from other cells types, resulting from use of different promoters driving Cre expression, leads to phenotypes other than those claimed and disclosed in the specification (for example, see Grossman, PNAS. 2009, 106:16704-16709; Nakamura, PNAS, 2009, 106:11270-11275). The art has also demonstrated that a non-conditional knockout of Shp2 in all cells of a mouse is embryonic lethal (Saxton, 1997, EMBO J, 16:2352-2364). Therefore, the specification enables making only a mouse lacking Shp2 expression in CaMK2a-expressing cells with the claimed phenotypes. The phenotypes of other Shp2-disrupted mice would differ from those of the mice disclosed in the specification for the CaSKO mouse and therefore, the specification fails to enable those other mice encompassed by the claims.

Therefore, because the specification only teaches use of the CaMK2a promoter to drive Cremediated recombination to knockout the Shp2 gene in forebrain cells to obtain a mouse with the claimed phenotypes, and because loss of Shp2 activity in other cells results in other phenotypes, including lethality, the specification fails to enable any mouse other than a genetically modified mouse whose genome comprises a Shp2^{flox} allele wherein the Shp2 gene is functionally disrupted in CamK2a-expressing cells such that no Shp2 is expressed in said cells and wherein said mouse exhibits increased body weight, early-onset obesity, and resistance to leptin.

Applicant has amended the claims to require that Shp2 not be expressed in the forebrain. This encompasses any and all cells of the forebrain, not just CaMK2a-expressing cells. Applicant remarks that the CaMK2a promoter does not drive expression only in the neurons of the hippocampus as discussed in the office action dated 08/19/2010. Applicant states that the Reece reference, which states at page 388, that the CaMK2a promoter drives expression only in the neurons of the hippocampus, was not provided. In response, this reference was provided along with the office action mailed 08/19/2010 and should be accessible via PAIR. Applicant's argument is not supported with any evidence of other expression patters related to the CaMK2a promoter that would overcome the instant rejection. Evidence demonstrating that this promoter is expressed in all forebrain cells is necessary to address the rejection, given the teachings of Reece and the analysis set forth above.

Additionally, the claims as amended and added, encompass mice where the deletion is in any and all cells of the mouse, In addition to the forebrain. These mice are not enabled for reasons set forth above. Applicant's have not addressed this aspect of the rejection.

The rejection of claims 33-43 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement is rendered moot by the cancellation of the relevant claims.

The rejection of claims 33-43 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement is rendered moot by the cancellation of the relevant claims.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

The rejection of claims 36-37 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention is rendered moot by the cancellation of the relevant claims.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Valarie Bertoglio whose telephone number is (571) 272-0725. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 5:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Paras can be reached on (571) 272-4517. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/594,170 Page 6

Art Unit: 1632

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer

Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR

CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Valarie Bertoglio/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1632